







## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.hspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,072	12/28/2001	Michael Slutsky	1130	2236
759	90 04/24/2003			
Himanshu S. Amin			. EXAMINER	
Amin & Turocy, LLP 24th Floor, National City Center			PITTS, HAROLD I	
1900 East Ninth Street Cleveland, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cicvoland, Off	11111	•	2876	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<i>}</i> • .
,	مسعمي إ

	Application No. Applicant(s) 10/034072 Citbus O E+ A-
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 7876
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
eriod for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO $f E$ OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	pire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 €	r formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
7-20	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
• •	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	t to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> </ul>	
☐ received.	
<ul> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Intern</li> </ul>	·
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the Intern</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Application/Control Number: 10/034,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

Rejections will be based on the following criteria the criteria for applicant and/or counsel is ordinary skill in the art, i.e. a knowledge of all prior art including the ability to read, comprehend to point out the claimed invention compared to the prior concepts. The applicant is considered to have the pertinent prior art before him during conception and reduction to practice of the invention in light of this prior art including drafting the specification and claims. The applicant is considered to be aware that to merely substitute or additionally employ one or more teachings of one or more of the references before him in a combinational sense would clearly e within the purview of obviousness, the motivation being the skilled artisan's recognition of the interchangeable teachings of similar systems and the expedient of a substitutive or an additive employment of one or more prior art system concepts to provide a particular solution or to bring about a desired result.

## 35 USA 112 rejections:

- a. The disclosure, like the claims point out the invention. A disclosure in which the lexicography is unclear. Vague, convoluted or incomplete does not comply with the statute.
- b. A disclosure which merely discusses prior art concepts without really setting a forth on independently arrived at enabling disclosure does not comply.
- C. Claims based on a disclosure as above or are vague, incomplete or merely expressions or desired results do not comply with the statute.
- 35 USC 103 rejections and motivation.



Application/Control Number: 10/034,072 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

The criteria here is skilled artisan who is looking first to the prior art for aid in the conception and reduction to practice phase of inventing and who is technologically skilled in the research of patent and other documentation and in the employment of prior art concepts in substitutive and additive combinations to address and implement a system, having collected and subjected the pertinent prior art (such as cited here in) and viewing the prior art technique of employing the desired inventive concepts in or more combinations to provide successfully similar solutions and which considered in combination address applicant's essential inventive concept, would find in such an addressing the "suggestion" or "suggestions" or "motivation" that the prior art concepts might be successfully employed in combination as set forth in applicant's claims.

35 USC 102 rejections:

A rejection under 35 USC 102 indicates that the claims, drafted in light of one or more references, fail to point and distinctly claim any discernible novel essential inventive concept.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:



Application/Control Number: 10/034,072 Page 4

Art Unit: 2876

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 USC 112 as being drawn to vague expressions or desired results. Read each claim term by term on the drawing.

Claims 1-20, as understood, are rejected under 35 USC 102/103 as essentially taught by the patents cited therewith and in the IDS point out novelty/unobvious subject matter over this prior art.

Harold Pitts Primary Examiner

H PITTS/pj

04/22/03